

The utilization of disk diffusion method and the Delvotest® for determining synergistic effects of cephalosporin combinations in milk

IVETA KUKUROVÁ - BERNADETTA HOZOVÁ

Summary

Antibiotics including β -lactam antibiotics are used in veterinary practice for the treatment of mastitis in milking cows. This study suggests that a suitable combination of antibiotics may result in synergistic action that might cause reduction of dosages with subsequent decrease in the maximum residue limits (MRL) as specified by the European Economic Commission of the European Union and the United States Food and Drug Administration recommendations. This study used disk diffusion assay and tested various combinations of 6 cephalosporins (cefuroxime, cefamandole, cefazoline, cefoperazone, cefotaxime and ceftazidime) for synergistic activity. Two of the antibiotic combinations were also tested by means of Delvotest® (The SP kit). In our model experiments with milk, an increase in antimicrobial activity indicating synergistic effect between tested cephalosporins was observed.

Keywords

cephalosporins; Delvostest SP; disk diffusion method; milk; synergistic effect

Milk, one of the basic foods that are consumed by the population of all ages and social groups, should correspond primarily to the demanded quality criteria (microbiological, nutritional, and sensory). Milk is an excellent source of vitamins and minerals, particularly calcium. It has long been recognised for its important role in bone health. It contains a good balance of protein, fat and carbohydrate and is a very important source of essential nutrients. Nutritionists recommend that milk and other dairy products should be consumed daily as part of a balanced diet.

Antibiotic residues in milk above tolerance levels, i.e. maximum residue limits (MRL) established by the European Union (EU) and the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [1] interfere with milk product processing such as cheese manufacturing, and they present potential health risks to the consumer. Their presence also may cause allergic reactions, interference with the intestinal flora, and resistant populations of bacteria in the general population, thereby rendering antibiotic treatment ineffective [2, 3]. Antibiotic residues are of great concern to dairy farmers, milk processors, regulatory agencies, and consumers.

The antibiotic residue detection assay systems that are currently available can be classified as microbiological and special identification and detection methods (e.g. chromatographic, enzymatic, and immunological). The most common microbiological methods monitor inhibition of the growth of a test organism. Well-known tests in this category include Charm Farm (Charm Sciences, Lawrence, Massachusetts, USA), Delvotest® (DSM Food Specialties, Delft, Netherlands) and the regulatory standard *Bacillus stearothermophilus* var. *calidolactis* disk assay (BSDA) [2, 4].

The *Bacillus stearothermophilus* var. *calidolactis* has a good sensitivity to the groups of β -lactam antibiotics. It is used as the target microorganism in commercial tests throughout the world [5, 6].

The disk diffusion method is inexpensive and highly sensitive to β -lactam antibiotics. However, it is hard to perform and it takes long time to obtain results. Even so many researchers have been using disk diffusion method with *Bacillus stearothermophilus* in their work worldwide during the past few years [2, 5-7].

Some researchers have used the disk diffusion method or Delvotest® to find the interactions

Iveta Kukurová, Bernadetta Hozová, Slovak University of Technology, Faculty of Chemical and Food Technology, Department of Nutrition and Food Evaluation, Radlinského 9, SK-812 37 Bratislava 1, Slovakia.

Correspondence author

Iveta Kukurová, e-mail: iveta@kukura.ca

among antibiotics in model experiments [8-10]. In our previous studies [8, 9] the synergistic effect was found in model experiments with Delvotest® (The SP kit) and disk diffusion method with *Bacillus stearothermophilus* var. *calidolactis* C 953 in combination of penicillin G with β -lactams, cephalosporins, aminoglycosides, peptides, other antibiotics and sulphonamides. Combinations of cephalosporines were also tested for synergistic effect.

The present paper is aimed at the evaluation of the interactions of the cephalosporin group of antibiotics (also of those in which the detection limit has not been established until now) and of the influence on individual detection limits with the possibility of achieving a synergistic effect.

The detection limits of 6 cephalosporins and also the detection limits of their mutual combinations were estimated by means of the disk diffusion method with *Bacillus stearothermophilus* var. *calidolactis* C 953. Two of these combinations were tested for synergistic effect by means of Delvotest® (Delvotest SP kit).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Bacterial strain

Bacillus stearothermophilus var. *calidolactis* C953 was obtained from the collection of microorganisms at the State Veterinary and Food Administration of the Slovak Republic in Bratislava, propagated on GTK agar (Glucose, Tryptone, Yeast agar; producer - Imuna Pharm, Šarišské Michaľany, Slovakia) and subsequently in the liquid substrate of the same composition prior to its application.

Antibiotics

Six tested cephalosporins and their concentration ranges used in our study are summarized in Tab. 1. Three of these cephalosporins (cefazoline, cefotaxime and cefoperazone) were also tested by Delvotest® (Delvotest SP kit) by applying lower concentration ranges in compliance with the de-

tction limits obtained by Delvotest SP (Tab. 2). Before use all antibiotics were stored and handled according to the manufacturer's instructions. The tested antibiotics in concentrations of $100 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ were prepared by dissolving 0.0100 g of the antibiotic in sterile, deionized water in a sterile 100 ml volumetric flask. Samples were prepared freshly on the day of analysis to avoid possible inconvenience due to instability of the solutions.

The standard (stock) solution of penicillin with the concentration $60 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ (100 IU.ml^{-1}) was prepared according to STN 57 0531 [4] by dissolving the potassium salt of benzylpenicillin in sterile deionized water in a sterile volumetric flask. All working solutions of penicillin were prepared from the stock solution of penicillin according to STN 57 0531 [4] freshly on the day of analysis.

Milk samples

Model milk samples for the estimation of the detection limits of tests were prepared freshly on the day of analysis. Ten grams of the reconstituted skim milk (PROMIL-PML, Nový Bydžov, Czech Republic) was dissolved in 90 ml of sterile deionized water; respective antibiotics were added to the milk prepared in this way such that the addition of a required aliquot of the antibiotic solution to a 10 ml final volume of milk gave the targeted concentration.

According to the recommendations of the International Dairy Federation (IDF) [11], the pH of reconstituted milk was higher than 6; natural inhibitors in milk (such as lysozyme or lactoferrin) that can cause false-positive results were subjected to thermal inactivation for 5 min at 80°C [4]. The reconstituted dried milk without any antibiotics was used as a control.

Methods

Disk Diffusion Method

The sterile paper disk (prepared according to STN 57 0531 [4] from the filter paper Whatman 1, diameter 9-13 mm, soaking ability approx. 130 mg

Tab. 1. Concentration ranges of cephalosporins and their producers.

| Cephalosporin | Producer | Concentration ranges [$\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$] |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Cefuroxime | GlaxoSmithKline (Middlesex, England) | 0.0000–0.3000 |
| Cefamandole | Lilly France SA (Suresnes, France) | 0.0000–0.0800 |
| Cefazoline | Lilly France SA (Suresnes, France) | 0.0000–0.0800 |
| Cefoperazone | Pfizer (Karlsruhe, Germany) | 0.0000–0.2500 |
| Cefotaxime | Roussel Uclaf (Paris, France) | 0.0000–0.4000 |
| Ceftazidime | GlaxoSmithKline (Middlesex, England) | 0.0000–7.0000 |

Tab. 2. Detection limits of cephalosporins obtained by disk diffusion method, Delvotest® (Delvotest SP kit) and their comparison with the EU maximum residue limits (EEC Regulation 2377/90 [1]).

| Cephalosporin | EEC Reg. 2377/90 [$\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$] | Detection limit [$\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$] | Method |
|---------------|--|---|--------------------|
| Cefuroxime | Not established | 0.3000 | DDM |
| Cefamandole | Not established | 0.0800 | DDM |
| Cefazoline | 0.0500 | 0.0800 / 0.0070 | DDM / Delvotest SP |
| Cefoperazone | 0.0500 | 0.2500 / 0.0300 | DDM / Delvotest SP |
| Cefotaxime | Not established | 0.4000 / 0.0100 | DDM / Delvotest SP |
| Ceftazidime | Not established | 7.0000 | DDM |

DDM - disk diffusion method ($n = 10$), Delvotest SP ($n = 3$).

of milk) soaked with the sample examined was placed on the surface of the agar nutrient medium (GTK agar) with *B. stearothermophilus*. After incubation ($64^\circ\text{C} \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 4–5 h), during which the tested strain grows, the agar medium turns opaque. The inhibition of *Bacillus stearothermophilus* is indicated by a clear zone around the paper disc. The size of the clear zone depends on the level of antibiotic residues in milk and can be compared with the size of the zones created by the reference solutions of penicillin of known concentration (0.0040, 0.0060, 0.0300 and 0.0600 $\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$). An inhibition zone ≥ 2 mm is considered to be positive [4]. The disk diffusion method has the sensitivity to penicillin G of 0.0025 IU. ml^{-1} milk. The inhibition zones were measured by a digital caliper (DIOXO, Prague, Czech Republic). Technical specification: measuring range: 0–150 mm, repeatability 0.01 mm. In our experiments the analysis of each concentration was repeated 10 times ($n = 10$).

Delvotest® (Delvotest SP kit)

The commercial Delvotest SP (manufactured by DSM Food Specialties, Delft, Netherlands) was carried out according to the instructions of the manufacturer.

The sample examined is batched into microtitration plates with pits filled with the agar nutrient medium containing *B. stearothermophilus* var. *calidolactis*. The incubation ($64^\circ\text{C} \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 2.5–5 h), during which the tested strain grows, the colour of the indicator (bromoresol purple) changed from blue-violet to yellow. If the sample contained substances that inhibit the growth of the test strain, the colour of the indicator remained blue-violet. This is the positive result. Delvotest SP has a sensitivity to penicillin G of 0.0030–0.0050 IU. ml^{-1} of milk. The analysis of each concentration was repeated three times ($n = 3$).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The detection limits of individual cephalosporins obtained by the disk diffusion method and Delvotest SP in our study are summarized in Tab. 2.

However, the values for cefazoline and cefoperazone obtained by disk diffusion method are higher than 0.0500 $\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$, which are the MRL's established by EU [1]. The MRL for cefuroxime, cefamandole, cefotaxime and ceftazidime in milk has not been established.

Tab. 3 presents the detection limits of cephalosporin combinations obtained by the disk diffusion method.

At each concentration of cephalosporins at first each cephalosporin at its detection limit and 0.0000 $\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ of the other cephalosporin was tested. The next choice of cephalosporin concentrations was made in accordance with the concentration ranges of individually applied cephalosporins. A wider concentration spectrum was examined to obtain the desired concentration of the other cephalosporin that could lead to a decrease of the detection limit of the first cephalosporin in the combination. The obtained values of the concentrations are presented in Tab. 3.

In our experiments made by disk diffusion method, the detection limits were 0.3000 $\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ for cefuroxime and 0.0800 $\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ for cefamandole. Tab. 3 shows that the detection limit of cefuroxime in combination with cefamandole at 0.0267 $\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ decreased to 0.2000 $\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$. The detection limit of cefamandole decreased from 0.0800 $\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ to 0.0587 $\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ after its combination with cefuroxime at 0.0800 $\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$.

For cefazoline the detection limit of 0.0800 $\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ was found; after its combination with 0.1250 $\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ cefoperazone the limit decreased to 0.0400 $\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$. The obtained value 0.0400 $\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ for cefazoline represents a value

Tab. 3. Detection limits of cephalosporin combinations obtained by disk diffusion method ($n = 10$) and their correlation coefficient.

| Cephalosporin | Detection limit in combination [$\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$] | | | | Correlation coefficient (r) |
|----------------------------|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| Cefuroxime Cefamandole | 0.3000 0.0000 | 0.2000 0.0267 | 0.0800 0.0587 | 0.0000 0.0800 | 0.9742 |
| Cefazoline Cefoperazone | 0.0800 0.0000 | 0.0400 0.1250 | 0.0200 0.1900 | 0.0000 0.2500 | 0.9893 |
| Cefotaxime Cefoperazone | 0.4000 0.0000 | 0.2500 0.0938 | 0.1000 0.1875 | 0.0000 0.2500 | 0.9206 |
| Cefuroxime Ceftazidime | 0.3000 0.0000 | 0.1714 3.0000 | 0.0857 5.0000 | 0.0000 7.0000 | 0.9958 |
| Cefazoline Ceftazidime | 0.0800 0.0000 | 0.0457 3.0000 | 0.0229 5.0000 | 0.0000 7.0000 | 0.9953 |
| Cefotaxime Cefuroxime | 0.4000 0.0000 | 0.3000 0.0750 | 0.1000 0.2250 | 0.0000 0.3000 | 0.9689 |
| Cefuroxime Cefoperazone | 0.3000 0.0000 | 0.2000 0.0833 | 0.0900 0.1750 | 0.0000 0.2500 | 0.9790 |
| Cefotaxime Ceftazidime | 0.4000 0.0000 | 0.2857 2.0000 | 0.1143 5.0000 | 0.0000 7.0000 | 0.9990 |
| Cefazoline Cefamandole | 0.0800 0.0000 | 0.0600 0.0225 | 0.0200 0.0675 | 0.0000 0.0800 | 1.0000 |

Tab. 4. Detection limits of cephalosporin combinations obtained by Delvotest® (Delvotest SP kit) ($n = 3$) and their correlation coefficient.

| Cephalosporin | Detection limit in combination [$\mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$] | | | | Correlation coefficient (r) |
|----------------------------|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| Cefazoline Cefoperazone | 0.0070 0.0000 | 0.0050 0.0100 | 0.0020 0.0200 | 0.0000 0.0300 | 0.9908 |
| Cefotaxime Cefoperazone | 0.0100 0.0000 | 0.0070 0.0080 | 0.0065 0.0100 | 0.0000 0.0300 | 0.8798 |

lower than $0.0500 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ for cefazoline, which is the MRL established by EU [1]. This combination shows a synergistic effect. The rest of combinations in Tab. 3 show the decline of the detection limits which is a manifestation of a synergistic effect.

For better understanding of our data basic correlation analysis was performed. The results of correlation analysis between detection limits of both antibiotics used in combination are presented in the Tab. 3. The values of correlation coefficient are close to 1 which indicates that the antibiotics in combination are strongly related. According to the values of correlation coefficient in Tab. 3 the combination between cefazoline and cefamandole and cefotaxime and ceftazidime show the strongest relation.

However, in our laboratory experiments done by disk diffusion method, we also found the opposite of synergistic effect, the antagonistic effect. By combining $0.4000 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ cefotaxime with

$0.2500 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ cefoperazone the inhibition zone was 0.1000 mm , which is much lower than 2 mm . It means that these two antibiotics at their combined concentrations did not reach the detection limit which is a clear sign of an antagonistic effect. By combining $0.3000 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ cefuroxime with $7.0000 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ ceftazidime the inhibition zone was 0.0600 mm , which also shows that this combination leads to an antagonistic effect.

In our work the combinations of cefazoline with cefoperazone and cefotaxime with cefoperazone were tested by disk diffusion method with *Bacillus stearothermophilus* var. *calidolactis* C953 and also by Delvotest SP.

Tab. 4 presents the detection limits of cephalosporin combinations obtained by Delvotest SP and the values of their correlation coefficient. In both tested combinations the value of correlation coefficient was close to 1 indicating that the antibiotics in a combination are closely related. We observed

the decline of the detection limits in the combination among cephalosporins which also points out to the synergistic effect.

However, the detection limits of individual substances obtained by Delvotest SP were lower than the detection limits obtained by disk diffusion method (Tab. 2).

By using Delvotest SP the detection limit of cefazoline ($0.0070 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$) was the same as the one determined by SUHREN and REICHMUTH [12] and higher than the $0.0050 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ reported by HOZOVÁ and KRATMÜLLEROVÁ [9]. In the case of cefoperazone, the level detected in this work ($0.0300 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$) was the same as the one detected by HOZOVÁ and KRATMÜLLEROVÁ [9], lower than the $0.0600 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ determined by Delvotest SP kit manufacturer and higher than the $0.020 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ detected by ALTHAUS et al. [13]. In our study, cefotaxime presented the detection limit of $0.0100 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$, lower than $0.0300 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ which is the value reported by HOZOVÁ and KRATMÜLLEROVÁ [9]. For the cephalosporins tested no other detection limits were found in the literature.

In our previous study [8] we reported that the sensitivity of Delvotest SP for cephalosporins tested was as much as 40-fold higher than that of the disk diffusion method. This fact was confirmed again by testing cefotaxime, cefazoline and cefoperazone. In determination of cefotaxime the sensitivity of Delvotest SP ($0.0100 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$) was as much as 40 times higher than that of the disk diffusion method ($0.4000 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$). In the determination of cefazoline the sensitivity of Delvotest SP ($0.0070 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$) was as much as 11.4 times higher than that of the disk diffusion method ($0.0800 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$). In the cefoperazone evaluation, the detection limit found by applying the disk diffusion method was $0.2500 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$, and that found by Delvotest SP was $0.0300 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ (8-fold increase in sensitivity over the disk diffusion method).

In summary, from the results achieved it can be concluded that in our model experiments with disk diffusion method and Delvotest® (Delvotest SP kit) the detection limits of cephalosporins, used in combinations were lower than detection limits of individual cephalosporins, testifying to the presence of a synergistic effect. However, when the MRL's for cephalosporins established by EU were considered, only the combination of cefazoline with cefoperazone presented a synergistic effect. (The detection limit of cefazoline $0.0800 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ after its combination with $0.1250 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$ cefoperazone decreased to $0.0400 \mu\text{g.ml}^{-1}$).

Furthermore, no studies on the action of combined cephalosporins on *B. stearothermophilus* var. *calidolactis* have been found in the literature.

Therefore, the results of our model experiments allow us to introduce the synergy hypothesis operating in some combinations of cephalosporins

CONCLUSIONS

The disk diffusion method can be used in searching for the detection limits of individual substances or in searching for the detection limits of their mutual combinations. However, the sensitivity of this detection system is not sufficient. Considering the high values of the detection limits obtained in our experiments, more rapid and effective screening methods are better used.

Residues of antibiotics in milk are undesirable from the health point of view (allergies), and also from the technological aspect (they deteriorate or make the processing of milk to dairy products impossible). Searching for detection limit for each antimicrobial agent deserves special attention, with the aim of preventing milk with drug residuals in excess of maximum residue limits from being marketed and reaching the consumer. It is also worthwhile to study mutual antibiotic combinations at various research levels (e.g. the affect on cheesemaking process) in searching for synergistic effects. This will ensure not only the safe production of dairy products but also the innovated and more effective mode of treatment of the clinical forms of mastitis.

REFERENCES

1. Council regulation 2377/90/EEC of 26 June 1990 laying down a community procedure for the establishment of maximum residue limits of veterinary medicinal products in foodstuffs of animal origin. Official Journal of the European Union, 1990, L 224, pp. 1-8.
2. Van Eenennaam, A. L. - Cullor, J. S. - Perani, L. - Gardner, I. A. - Smith, W. L. - Dellinger, J. - Guterbock, W. M. - Jensen, J.: Evaluation of milk antibiotic residue screening tests in cattle with naturally occurring clinical mastitis. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 76, 1993, pp. 3041-3053.
3. Mathur, S. - Singh, R.: Antibiotic resistance in food lactic acid bacteria - a review. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 105, 2005, pp. 281-295.
4. STN 57 0531. Evidence and determination of antibiotics and sulphonamides in raw milk and in heat-treated milk. Bratislava : Slovak Standards Institute SUTN, 2001. 13 pp.
5. Shitandi, A. - Kihumbu, G.: Laboratory evaluation of the improved tube test detection limits for β -lactam residues in Kenyan milk. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 3, 2004, pp. 82-87.

6. Packham, W. - Broome, M. C. - Limswotin, G. K. Y. - Roginsky, H.: Limitations of standard antibiotic screening assays when applied to milk for cheese-making. *The Australian Journal of Dairy Technology*, **56**, 2001, pp. 15-18.
7. Kastner, S. - Perreten, V. - Bleuler, H. - Hugenschmidt, G. - Lacroix, Ch. - Meile, L.: Antibiotic susceptibility patterns and resistance genes of starter cultures and probiotic bacteria used in food. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*, **29**, 2006, pp. 145-155.
8. Kukurová, I. - Hozová, B.: Interactions of antimicrobials in milk and their detection by the disk diffusion method and Delvotest SP. *Journal of AOAC International*, **86**, 2003, pp. 529-533.
9. Hozová, B. - Kratmüllerová, M.: Assay of antibiotic detection limits in cow's milk model samples and comparison of sensitivity of various detection systems (disk diffusion method, Delvotest SP and Penzym S 100). *Czech Journal of Food Sciences*, **19**, 2001, pp. 125-131.
10. Lutz, M. - Suhren, G. - Heeschen, W.: Interactions of antimicrobials in milk. Consequences for the detection by a microbial inhibitor test. *Milchwissenschaft*, **51**, 1996, pp. 390-392.
11. Detection and confirmation of inhibitors in milk and milk products. In: IDF Bulletin No. 258/1991. Brussels : International Dairy Federation, 1991, pp. 1-99.
12. Suhren, G. - Reichmuth, J.: Nachweis von β -Laktamantibiotikarückständen in milch-Erfahrungen mit dem SNAP-Beta-Laktamtest. D.M.Z. Lebensmittelindustrie und Milchwissenschaft, **119**, 1998, pp. 674-681.
13. Althaus, R. L. - Torres, A. - Montero, A. - Balasch, S. - Molina, M. P.: Detection limits of antimicrobials in ewe milk by Delvotest photometric measurements. *Journal of Dairy Science*, **86**, 2003, pp. 457-463.

Received 31 October 2006; revised 9 January 2007; accepted 24 January 2007.